

Our Mission: "Inspiring our students to succeed and make a difference"

Our Vision: "We are leaders in providing quality learning experiences in our small school communities"

Our Values: "Caring, Fairness, Empathy, Responsibility, Honesty, Resilience, Respect, Perseverance and Innovation"

Our Motto: "Small schools make a difference"

Videoconference Site Locations

Special Board Meeting 04-2014

AGENDA

Thursday, May 8, 2014 @ 7:00 p.m.

Designated Site: Superior-Greenstone DSB Meeting Room 12, Hemlo Drive, Marathon, ON

Board Chair: P. McRae Director: David Tamblyn

VC Sites at: GCHS / LSHS / MNHS / NRHS Teleconference Moderator: C. Tsubouchi

PART I: Special Board Meeting

Section (A): – (open to public): 7:00 p.m.

1.0 Roll Call

Trustees Attendance: On-site (OS); Teleconference (TC); Videoconference (VC); Absent							ent (A); I	Regret	s (R)		
<u>Hustees</u>	os	S TC VC A R OS TC VC							Α	R	
Bartlett, Bette						Visintin, Maria (Student)					
Brown, Cindy						MacGregor, Aaron					
First Nation (Vacant)						Mannisto, Mark					
Fisher, Matthew						McRae, Pauline (Pinky)					
Figliomeni, Kim						Simonaitis, Fred					

Board Administrators	Attendance Mode: On-site (OS); Teleconference (TC); Video	conference (V	C); Abs	ent (A);	Regre	ts (R)
Board Administrators		OS	TC	VC	Α	R
Tamblyn, David: Directo	r of Education					
Petrick, Nancy: Superint	endent of Education					
Tsubouchi, Cathy: Supe	rintendent of Business					
Williams, Dianne: Manag	ger of Accounting Services					
Chiupka, Wayne: Manag	ger of Plant Services/Transportation					
Morden-Cormier, Nicole:	School Effectiveness Leader					
Willcocks, Barb: Student	t Success Leader					
Paris, Marc: Coordinator	r of Plant Services					
Draper, Barb: Coordinat	or of Human Resources Services					
Lucas, Jay: Coordinator	of Information Technology Services					

PART I: Special Board Meeting Section (A) - (open to public) 7:00 p.m.

2.0 Special Board Meeting Call to Order

✓ **That**, the Superior-Greenstone DSB Special Board Meeting on Thursday, May 8, 2014 be called to order at ______ p.m.

3.0 Disclosures of Interest re: Open Session

4.0 Report from the Superintendent of Education

4.1 <u>Special Board Report No. 03</u> 2014/2015 Secondary Staffing Proposal

(Attached - N. Petrick)

✓ **That**, the Report entitled, Special Board Report No. 3 2014 – 2015 Secondary Staffing Proposal, be received by the Board for information.

5.0 Report from the Director of Education

5.1 <u>Special Board Report No. 04</u> Elementary Teacher Staffing for September 2014

(Attached – D. Tamblyn)

✓ **That**, the Superior-Greenstone DSB having received Special Board Report No. 4 Elementary Teaching Staff for September 2014, approves the report as presented.

5.2 Special Board Report No. 05

(Attached - D. Tamblyn)

Director's Report: Caramat Accommodation Review

✓ **That**, the Report entitled, Special Board Report No. 5 Director's Report: Caramat Accommodation Review, be received by the Board as information.

6.0 Adjournment

6.1 ✓ **That**, the Superior-Greenstone DSB Special Board Meeting 04-2014 on Thursday, May 8, 2014 adjourn at _____, p.m.

2014 - Board Meeting Schedule						
All meetings convened at Superior-Greenstone	e DSB Meeting Room, Marathon, ON (6:30 p.m.)					
Monday, May 26 Face-to-Face, Marathon Monday, August 25						
Monday, June 23 Face-to-Face, Marathon	Monday, September 22					
Monday, July 21	Monday, October 27					
	Monday, November 17					
	Friday, December 5: Inaugural Face-to-Face At Marathon Board Office (Time @ 11:00 a.m.)					

Special Board Report No.: 03 Date: May 8, 2014

TO: Chair and Members of the

Superior-Greenstone District School Board

FROM: Nancy Petrick, Superintendent of Education

SUBJECT: 2014 - 2015 Secondary Staffing Proposal

STRATEGIC

PRIORITIES: Student Achievement and Well Being

Responsible Stewardship of Resources

Background

Table 1 illustrates the secondary staffing reduction that has occurred due to declining enrolment across our school district since 2008. As you are aware enrolment started to decline prior to this date and such declines are directly linked to a reduction in the secondary staffing compliment.

Base secondary staffing is calculated as per Article 14 of the Collective Agreement between the Superior-Greenstone District School Board and the Ontario Secondary School Teachers' Federation. The staffing is based on the average of the two count dates, October 31, and March 31, of the respective years divided by 16. This generates the Classroom Teacher line of the table shown below in Table 2A.

A consultation process has taken place between the Principals and Superintendent of Education regarding projected staffing needs, the Principals understand that staffing their schools for 2014 – 2015 will be done according to Article 14 of the Collective Agreement and available funding from various grants.

Table 2B; outlines additional staffing over and above that prescribed in the collective agreement. These additional sections will ensure core programs are being offered to accommodate the needs of our students across our board and continue to keep our commitment to the three core priorities of the Ministry of Education; increased levels of student achievement, reducing the gap in student achievement and increased public confidence.

Historical Context

Table 1: Staffing and Enrolment Decline since 2008-2009

	200	8-09	2009	9-10	201	0-11	2011	-12	2012-2013		2013-2	2014
	Enrolmen t	Staffing	Enrolment	Staffing	Enrolment	Enrolment	Staffing	Staffing	Enrolment	Staffing	Enrolment (Actual)	Staffing
GCHS	284.25	21.50	285.88	21.50	273.13	220.25	18.17	19.50	220.25	18.17	218.38	17.17
LSHS	169.75	14.00	164.88	14.00	148.00	113.25	13.00	13.00	113.25	13.00	103.38	12.33
MNHS	128.75	13.50	114.88	13.33	114.00	104.88	12.67	12.83	104.88	12.67	103.38	12.17
MRHS	305.38	23.17	274.00	21.00	248.63	192.00	17.33	18.16	192.00	17.33	189.38	15.50
NRHS	239.38	19.33	231.25	18.34	231.38	18.17	192.75	16.33	186.00	15.83	191.63	14.83

Current Situation

Table 2: The Secondary Staffing Proposal for 2014 - 2015 is outlined below:

Part A: Staffing according to contractual agreement

	GCHS	LSHS	MNHS	MRHS	NRHS	Board Information
October 31, 2013	223.75	105.50	104.75	194.25	188.25	816.50
March 31, 2014	213.00	101.25	102.00	184.50	195.00	795.75
Average	218.38	103.38	103.38	189.38	191.63	806.13
Classroom Teachers	13.65	6.46	6.46	11.84	11.98	50.38
Rounded	13.67	6.50	6.50	12.00	12.00	50.67
Special Education Teachers	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	5.00
Guidance Teachers	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	5.00
2014 - 2015 Contract Teachers	15.67	8.50	8.50	14.00	14.00	60.67

Part B: Staffing funded over and above Collective Agreement by Special Initiatives and the Board**

	GCHS	LSHS	MNHS	MRHS	NRHS	Board Information
OYAP	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.33	0.17	1.00
Student Success (Ministry Base)	0.67	0.33	0.33	0.50	0.50	2.33
Board Enhancement - Student	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.83
Board Enhancement (School within a College – SWAC)	0.17				0.17	0.33
Board Enhancement		3.17	3.00			6.17
Teaching Staff for 2014 - 15	16.83	12.33	12.17	15.00	15.00	71.33
Teaching Staff for 2013 - 14	17.17	12.33	12.17	15.50	15.34	72.50
Staffing Difference from Previous Year	-0.33	0.00	0.00	-0.50	-0.33	-1.17
E-Learning (Board Enhancement)						1.00
Net Staffing Difference from previous year						-0.17

^{**}Note: the decimals represent periods; therefore, columns and rows do not add mathematically one period is 0.17; while 6 periods are 1.0.

Additional Information:

- It is expected that the allocated Student Success periods will be used to provide alternative education programs in each of our secondary schools, which may be different in each school depending on their need.
- As our enrolment declines and we struggle to provide a variety of courses for our graduating students we have also added 6 e-learning classes to the total staffing compliment.
- As per Ministry funding, SGDSB receives additional funding for running Native Language and/or Native Studies courses where proof of the required, minimum enrollment is reached {twelve (12) non-tuition students}. This additional funding may be used to assist in adding to teaching staff in second semester in some or all of our secondary schools.

As outlined when the 2014/15 Board Estimate process was provided at the January 27, 2014 Board meeting, we have built in time for trustees to review staffing proposals. Therefore, there is no recommendation in this report. The recommendation will come forward to the Regular Board meeting in May.

Administrative Recommendation

That, the Report entitled, Special Board Report No. $3\,2014-2015$ Secondary Staffing Proposal, be received by the Board for information.

Respectfully submitted by:

Nancy Petrick Superintendent of Education

Special Board Report No.: 04

Date: May 8, 2014

TO: Chair and Members of the

Superior-Greenstone District School Board

FROM: David Tamblyn, Director of Education

SUBJECT: Elementary Teaching Staff for September 2014

STRATEGIC Student Achievement and Well Being

PRIORITIES: Stewardship of Resources

Current Situation

Staffing our schools is extremely important to the lives of our students, teachers, administrators and communities. Intervention programs and school organization contributes to the positive learning environments that we celebrate in each of our schools. It is necessary to examine each school in our Board to determine staffing levels that will best meet the needs of our students and at the same time align resources to benefit our students. Our commitment to the four core priorities of the Ministry of Education; achieving excellence, ensuring equity, promoting well-being and enhancing public confidence continues to be our focus in this process.

In consultation with school administrators, the following guiding principles have been used to staff our school in September 2014:

- Low pupil teacher ratio is a major consideration when determining staffing for multi-grade classrooms; An attempt to eliminate quadruple grades in the literacy and numeracy blocks and limit the pupil-teacher ratio to 15:1 will be a focus
- Flexibility in responding to the needs of schools experiencing an increase in enrolment in September 2014

Although there are many multiple grade classroom configurations in our elementary schools, this proposal continues to meet the cognitive, emotional and physical needs of our students

Table 1: Projected Enrolment September 2014

Total FTE by School	JK	SK	Gr. 1-3	Gr. 4-6	Gr. 7-8	TOTAL	FTE
B. A. Parker Public School	8	16	38	44	34	140	140
Beardmore Public School	2	2	7	16	7	34	34
Caramat Public School	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dorion Public School	4	1	11	8	11	36	36
George O'Neill Public School	12	8	33	21	15	89	89
Manitouwadge Public School	5	3	16	7	11	42	42
Margaret Twomey Public School	23	17	74	76	44	231	234
Marjorie Mills Public School	3	9	20	19	11	62	62
Nakina Public School	0	5	9	8	6	28	28
Red Rock Public School	2	4	10	3	4	23	23
Schreiber Public School	10	5	16	10	10	51	51
Terrace Bay Public School	6	11	17	12	9	55	55
Total FTE							794

Table 2 outlines the recommended classroom staffing compared to the 2013/2014 school year and Table 3 outlines the recommended Special Education and French/Primary planning staffing. Table 4 provides a suggested classroom configuration based on the staffing allocations in this report. This is only a suggestion as schools may decide to configure the classrooms differently.

Table 2: Recommended Classroom Staffing for September 2014

	2013/	2014		September 2014	
School	Projected Enrolment (Oct. 31/2013)	Classroom Teachers	Projected Enrolment (Oct.31/2014)	Classroom Teachers	Change in Teachers
B.A. Parker	159.00	8.00	140.00	7.00	-1.00
Beardmore	32.00	3.00	34.00	3.00	0.00
Caramat	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Dorion	45.00	3.00	36.00	3.00	0.00
George O'Neill	95.00	5.00	93.00	5.00	0.00
Manitouwadge	44.00	3.00	42.00	3.00	0.00
Margaret Twomey	224.00	11.00	234.00	11.00	0.00
Marjorie Mills	64.00	4.00	62.00	4.00	0.00
Nakina	30.00	3.00	28.00	3.00	0.00
Red Rock	21.00	3.00	19.00	2.00	-1.00
Schreiber	39.00	3.00	51.00	4.00	+1.00
Terrace Bay	42.00	3.50	55.00	4.00	+0.50
Total FTE	795.00	49.50	794.00	49.00	-0.50

Table 3: French, Special Education and Other Program Staffing

		201	3/2014		September 2014							
School	SERT/EI	French/ Planning	Other**	Total	SERT/ EI	French/ Planning	Other**	Total	Change			
BA Parker *	*1.50	1.88	0.12	3.50	2.00*	1.20	0.30	3.50				
Beardmore	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.44	0.56		1.00				
Caramat	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00				
Dorion	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.44	0.56		1.00				
George O'Neill	0.57	0.93		1.50	0.75	0.93		1.68	+0.18			
Manitouwadge	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.44	0.56		1.00				
Margaret Twomey	1.00	2.00		3.00	1.00	2.00		3.00				
Marjorie Mills	0.86	0.64		1.50	0.86	0.64		1.50				
Nakina	0.13	0.46		0.59	0.13	0.46		0.59				
Red Rock	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.68	0.32		1.00				
Schreiber	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.26	0.74		1.00				
Terrace Bay	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.26	0.74		1.00				
Total FTE				16.09				16.27	+0.18			

2013 - 2014

**Student Success

September 2014

^{*}SERT includes 0.50 Teacher of the Visually Impaired

^{*}SERT includes 0.50 Teacher of the Blind

^{**}Student Success

Table 4: September 2014 Tentative Classroom Configurations

School	Cla	ass	Size		
B.A. Parker – 140.0 (F.T.E)	Grades	JK/SK* 1/2 3 4/5 5/6 7	8/16 9/12 17 18/6 7/14 21	= 24.0 = 21.0 = 17.0 = 24.0 = 21.0 = 21.0 = 13.0	
Beardmore – 34.0 (F.T.E)	Grades	JK/SK/1/2 3/4/5 6/7/8	2/2/0/6 1/5/5 6/2/5	= 10.0 = 11.0 = 13.0	
Dorion – 36.0 (F.T.E)	Grades	JK/SK/1 2/3/4/5 6/7/8	4/1/4 4/3/3/4 1/5/6	= 9.0 = 14.0 = 12.0	
George O'Neill – 89.0 (F.T.E.)	Grades	JK/SK* 1/2 2/3 4/5/6 7/8	12/8 13/6 5/9 5/9/7 6/13	= 20.0 = 20.0 = 14.0 = 21.0 = 19.0	
Manitouwadge – 42.0 (F.T.E)	Grades	JK/SK/1 2/3/4 5/6/7/8	5/3/4 4/8/3 2/2/2/9	= 12.0 = 15.0 = 15.0	
Margaret Twomey – 234.0 (F.T.E)	Grades	JK /SK* JK/SK * 1 1/2 2/3 3/4 4/5 5/6 6 7	10/10 13/13 20 7/12 11/9 15/8 18/6 12/12 20 22	= 20.0 = 20.0 = 20.0 = 19.0 = 20.0 = 23.0 = 24.0 = 24.0 = 20.0 = 22.0 = 22.0	
Marjorie Mills -62.0 (F.T.E.)	Grades	JK/SK 1/2/3 4/5/6 7/8	3/9 7/6/7 7/6/6 7/4	= 12.0 = 20.0 = 19.0 = 11.0	
Nakina – 28.0 (F.T.E.)	Grades	JK/SK/1 2/3/4 5/6/7/8	0/5/6 1/2/4 2/2/0/5	= 11.0 = 7.0 = 9.0	
Red Rock Public – 23.0 (F.T.E.)	Grades	JK/SK/1 2/3/4/5 5/6/7/8***	2/4/5 4/1/3 0/0/0/0	= 11.0 = 8.0 = 0.0	
Schreiber – 51.0 (F.T.E)	Grades	JK/SK** 1/2/3 4/5/6 7/8	10/5 6/6/4/ 1/6/3 6/4	= 15.0 = 16.0 = 10.0 = 10.0	
Terrace Bay – 55.0 (F.T.E)	Grades	JK/SK** 1/2 3/4/5 6/7/8	6/11 5/4 8/5/3 5/6/3	= 17.0 = 9.0 = 16.0 = 14.0	

Note:

^{*} Class includes Early Childhood Educator (ECE)

** Enrolment numbers are being monitored to determine ECE support

*** Grade 8 Students from RRPS will be bussed to GOPS

Administrative Recommendations

That, the Superior-Greenstone DSB having received Special Board Report No. 4 Elementary Teaching Staff for September 2014, approves the report as presented.

Respectfully submitted by:

David Tamblyn Director of Education

Special Board Report No.: 05

Date: May 8, 2014

TO: Chair and Members of the

Superior-Greenstone District School Board

FROM: David Tamblyn, Director of Education

SUBJECT: Director's Report: Caramat Accommodation Review

STRATEGIC Student Achievement and Well Being **PRIORITIES:** Responsible Stewardship of Resources

Background

At the August 22, 2011 regular board meeting, Trustees approved a recommendation to initiate the Caramat District School Accommodation Review under Superior-Greenstone District School Board policy 905. The mandate of the Accommodation Review Committee was to act in an advisory role that will study, report and provide recommendation option(s) regarding Caramat District Public School for the Board of Trustees' consideration and decision. The Caramat Accommodation Review Committee (Caramat ARC) was comprised of representatives of the community.

Over the course of 4 working committee meetings/public meetings the ARC compiled their final report. This report was presented to the community in a public meeting/BBQ on June 24, 2013.

On April 10, 2014 the ARC submitted its final report for Trustee consideration which recommended that Superior-Greenstone District School Board formally end the provision of educational programming at Caramat District School.

Current Situation

All participants in the process were committed to the value to the students as being the highest consideration.

Senior Administration endorses Caramat Accommodation Review Committee's proposed recommendation to formally end the provision of educational programming at Caramat District School and will keep in mind the communities interest in the future of the facility.

Senior Administration will also continue to provide transportation to our closest elementary and secondary schools for any students who may be in the community of Caramat or surrounding district.

The members of the ARC are to be commended for their commitment to this process as well as displaying a positive, friendly attitude in arriving at this difficult decision.

Administrative Recommendation

That, the Report entitled, Special Board Report No. 5 Director's Report: Caramat Accommodation Review, be received by the Board for information.

Respectfully submitted by:

David Tamblyn
Director of Education