

Table 2 outlines the recommended classroom staffing compared to the 2012/2013 school year and Table 3 outlines the recommended Special Education and French/Primary planning staffing. Table 4 provides a suggested classroom configuration based on the staffing allocations in this report. This is only a suggestion as schools may decide to configure the classrooms differently.

Table 2: Recommended Classroom Staffing for September 2013

School	2012/2013		September 2013		
	FTE Enrolment (Oct. 31/2012)	Classroom Teachers	FTE Enrolment (Budget)	Classroom Teachers	Change in Teachers
B.A. Parker	154.00	8.00	146.00	8.00	0.00
Beardmore	32.50	3.00	31.50	3.00	0.00
Caramat	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Dorion	40.00	3.50	41.0	3.00	-0.50
George O'Neill	85.50	6.00	84.00	5.00	-1.00
Manitouwadge	41.50	3.50	41.00	3.00	-0.50
Margaret Twomey	211.50	11.00	205.00	11.00	0.00
Marjorie Mills	64.50	4.50	60.50	4.00	-0.50
Nakina	22.50	3.00	25.50	3.00	0.00
Red Rock	27.00	3.00	17.50	3.00	0.00
Schreiber	35.50	3.00	35.50	3.00	0.00
Terrace Bay	38.50	3.50	37.00	3.50	0.00
Total FTE	753.00	52.00	724.50	49.50	-2.50

Table 3: French, Special Education and Other Program Staffing

School	2012/2013				September 2013				
	SERT/EI	French/Planning	Other**	Total	SERT/EI	French/Planning	Other**	Total	Change
BA Parker	*1.50	1.30	0.20	3.00	*1.50	1.88	0.12	3.50	+0.50
Beardmore	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.00
Caramat	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
Dorion	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.00
George O'Neill	0.57	0.93		1.50	0.57	0.93		1.50	0.00
Manitouwadge	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.00
Margaret Twomey	1.00	2.00		3.00	1.00	2.00		3.00	0.00
Marjorie Mills	0.26	0.74		1.00	0.86	0.64		1.50	+0.50
Nakina ***	0.13	0.37		0.50	0.13	0.46		0.59	+0.09
Red Rock	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.00
Schreiber	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.00
Terrace Bay	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.44	0.56		1.00	0.00
Total FTE	6.10	8.70	0.20	15.00	6.70	9.27	0.12	16.09	+1.09

2012 – 2013

*SERT includes 0.50 Teacher of the Blind

** Student Success

September 2013

*SERT includes 0.50 Teacher of the Blind

** Student Success

*** Increase covered by Administration

Table 4: September 2013 Tentative Classroom Configurations

<i>School</i>	<i>Class</i>		<i>Size</i>
B.A. Parker..... 138.0 (F.T.E)	Grades	JK/SK *	18.0 / 8.0 = 26.0
		1	20..... = 20.0
		2	18..... = 18.0
		3	18..... = 18.0
		4 / 5	12 / 8..... = 20.0
		5 / 6	5 / 13..... = 18.0
		6 / 7	4 / 14..... = 18.0
		8	21..... = 21.0
Beardmore31.5 (F.T.E)	Grades	JK / SK / 1 / 2	1 / 0 / 5 / 1 = 07.0
		3 / 4 / 5	5 / 4 / 6..... = 15.0
		6 / 7 / 8	3 / 5 / 2..... = 10.0
Dorion 41 (F.T.E)	Grades	JK / SK / 1	3 / 5 / 6..... = 14.0
		2 / 3 / 4 / 5	3 / 3 / 6 / 2..... = 14.0
		6 / 7 / 8	7 / 6 / 4..... = 17.0
George O'Neill..... 84(F.T.E.)	Grades	JK / SK	6 / 16..... = 22.0
		1 / 2	12 / 10..... = 22.0
		3 / 4	8 / 9..... = 17.0
		5 / 6	7 / 7..... = 14.0
		7 / 8	8 / 12..... = 20.0
Manitouwadge.....41.0 (F.T.E)	Grades	JK / SK / 1	3 / 3 / 4..... = 10.0
		2 / 3 / 4 / 5	8 / 2 / 2 / 2..... = 14.0
		6 / 7 / 8	1 / 8 / 11..... = 20.0
Margaret Twomey205 (F.T.E)	Grades	JK / SK *	7 / 19..... = 26.0
		JK / SK	6 / 6..... = 12.0
		1	20..... = 20.0
		1 / 2	6 / 14..... = 20.0
		2 / 3	8 / 12..... = 20.0
		3 / 4	14 / 6..... = 20.0
		4 / 5	12 / 8..... = 20.0
		5	25..... = 25.0
		6	21..... = 21.0
		7	21..... = 21.0
	8	19..... = 19.0	
Marjorie Mills.....60.5 (F.T.E.)	Grades	JK / SK / 1	4 / 3 / 6..... = 13.0
		2 / 3	6 / 7..... = 13.0
		4 / 5 / 6	4 / 9 / 9..... = 22.0
		7 / 8	5 / 11..... = 16.0
Nakina.....25.5 (F.T.E.)	Grades	JK / SK / 1	5 / 4 / 1..... = 10.0
		2 / 3 / 4	3 / 3 / 4..... = 10.0
		5 / 6 / 7 / 8	2 / 0 / 4 / 4..... = 10.0
Red Rock Public..... 17.5 (F.T.E.)	Grades	JK / SK / 1	4 / 3 / 2..... = 09.0
		2 / 3 / 4 / 5	3 / 1 / 1 / 0..... = 05.0
		6 / 7 / 8	1 / 3 / 3..... = 07.0
Schreiber.....35.5 (F.T.E)	Grades	JK / SK / 1	4 / 3 / 6..... = 13.0
		2 / 3 / 4 / 5	2 / 1 / 5 / 3..... = 11.0
		6 / 7 / 8	6 / 2 / 7..... = 15.0
Terrace Bay37.0 (F.T.E) **	Grades	JK / SK	6 / 4..... = 10.0
		1 / 2	3 / 6..... = 09.0
		3 / 4 / 5	2 / 3 / 4..... = 09.0
		6 / 7 / 8	6 / 4 / 4..... = 14.0

Note: * Class includes ECE worker

** Refers to morning schedule

As outlined when the 2013/14 Estimate Process was provided at the January Board meeting, we have built in time for trustees to review staffing proposals. Therefore, there is no recommendation in this report. The recommendation will come forward to the Special Board meeting in May.

Administrative Summary

The report entitled, "Elementary Teaching Staff Proposal for September 2013" is presented to the Superior-Greenstone DSB for information.

Respectfully submitted by:

Nancy Petrick
Superintendent of Education